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ABSTRACT

IBD stands for Inflammatory Bowel Disease, an umbrella term for a group of inflammatory conditions that chronically affect the digestive tract (Grigorescu et al., 2023). Previous research has found a link between Inflammatory Bowel Diseases and concurrent diagnoses of eating disorders. The interest and objective of this research study were stimulated by this finding from pre-existing literature: to assess the degree to which special dieting for the treatment of Inflammatory Bowel Disease in adolescents affects the development of an eating disorder. Three methodology tools were employed to assess the prevalence of eating disorders amongst this population: a meta-analysis, a pre-validated quantitative questionnaire, and qualitative interviews. It was concluded that eating disorders aren’t strongly associated with special diets for the treatment of adolescent IBD patients. It was additionally concluded that patients individually choose special dieting as their treatment plan and thus are more resilient to eating behavior changes.

LITERATURE REVIEW

Search Strategies

To find reliable sources for this research, a comprehensive search was conducted using several databases using the limiting function of peer-reviewed to confirm reliability. Throughout research, keywords used included: diet, eating disorder, Anorexia, Bulimia, binge-eating, Inflammatory Bowel Disease (IBD), Crohn’s, Ulcerative Colitis, adolescents, and comorbidity.

Definitions and Etiology

To ensure research is administered and evaluated properly, the definition of terms is an essential step in beginning research on the topic. Indistinct definitions may significantly reduce the comprehension of this research. Crohn’s Disease (CD) is the chronic (long-term), relapsing, and progressive inflammation of the gastrointestinal tract (Roda et al., 2020). Likewise, Ulcerative Colitis (UC) is the chronic destruction of the colon that causes permanent lesions. UC and CD are Inflammatory Bowel Diseases (IBD) and their etiology stems from no single criterion; IBD is largely presumed to result due to genetic susceptibility to disease and subjection to environmental factors (Lewis and Abreu, 2017).

The inflammatory burden and gut dysbiosis – disruption to the microbiome – of IBD is potentiayed by the “Western” diet which includes foods low in fruits and vegetables, but high in protein and animal fat (Nakayuenyongsuk et al., 2017). Research widely accepts that diet is the most prominent environmental factor affecting the intestinal microbiota. This shift to processed foods and food additives alters the host-gut microbiota (Nakayuenyongsuk et al., 2017). When choosing between the terms’ microbiome and microbiota, the microbiome references the genomes from all the microorganisms in the specific environment and microbiota denotes the individual microorganisms found within this environment. Due to the mentioned diet variation, the global prevalence of IBD now affects “1 in 200...
individuals in Western countries,” an ever-increasing statistic (Roda et al., 2020). Especially, with a rising incidence in pediatric populations (Rosen et al., 2015). The terms “pediatric patients” and “adolescent patients” will be used synonymously throughout the paper.

When discussing treatment options, several clinical and holistic treatments will be referenced. First-line therapies will be defined as clinical therapies recognized as best for preliminary treatment of IBD. Biologic therapies will be classified as treatments by means of properties made from living organisms (Johnston, 2007). The goal of management/treatment of IBD is to reduce triggers of symptoms, mainly, inflammation. In the best cases, remission may be achieved – a stage in which the disease is no longer active – but relapse may occur at any time (Chateau and Peyrin-Biroulet, 2020). The terms “management” and “treatment” will be defined synonymously throughout the paper.

Treatments

Treatment for IBD must be individualized to each patient depending on symptoms, genetic makeup, microbiome composition, and clinical and environmental information (Roda et al., 2020). Most commonly, treatment for IBD begins using corticosteroids and immunomodulators (such as: azathioprine, methotrexate, 6-mercaptopurine) as first-line therapies. In the occasion in which these agents fail to stimulate remission, biologic therapies (biologics) are used. Tumor necrosis factor (TNF) antagonists are considered first-line biologics. Anti-TNF agents include infliximab, etanercept, golimumab, certolizumab and adalimumab. Infliximab is most commonly used as the first-line biologic for pediatric UC (Turner et al., 2012). Though, studies have established that anti-TNF agents fail to induce remission in up to 30% of patients (Schwartz, 2021). Therefore, new holistic treatments have become an increasingly attractive option in the treatment of IBD. Particularly, dieting as treatment for IBD has gained traction in the healing of the distrusted microbiome by eliminating factors (e.g. the “Western” diet) contributing to the gut dysbiosis diet (Schwartz, 2021). Special diets include but are not limited to: the Low FODMAP Diet, Gluten-Free Diet, Specific Carbohydrate Diet (SCD), Lactose-Free Diet, Dietary Fiber, Semi-Vegetarian (plant-based) Diet, Mediterranean Diet, High Protein Diet, Paleolithic Diet, Anti-Inflammatory Diet, Exclusive Enteral Nutrition (formula-based), and IgG4 Exclusion Diet (Serrano-Moreno et al., 2022). These diets have proved successful in regulating inflammation markers, but hold risks of nutritional deficiencies (Nakayuenyongsuk et al., 2017). Conversely, the aforementioned special diets are not effective in pediatric UC; they do not induce remission (Turner et al., 2012). In the context of this study, the indicated treatments will be referenced, but readers should acknowledge there are other existing clinical and holistic treatments used for IBD management.

Comorbidity

In addition to IBD, patients commonly have a comorbid diagnosis with an eating disorder. Considering 95% of those with an eating disorder are between the ages of 12 and 25, a significant majority of IBD patients at risk are adolescents (“Eating disorder facts,” n.d.). Studies have shown that patients with IBD frequently restrict food intake and evade specific nutrients due to strong beliefs about risk factors of food to IBD and food triggered IBD symptoms. (Ilzarbe et al., 2017). Such eating behavior changes are presumed to decrease pleasure in eating within this population. Moreover, patients have shown to use IBD symptomology to mitigate weight loss by “1) taking laxatives; 2) by taking products with lactose despite being intolerant to it; 3) by combing both previous methods; and 4) by using a stoma as a purging device” (Ilzarbe et al., 2017). The reduction in quality of life in this populace further intensifies malnourishment and social isolation (Ilzarbe et al., 2017). Specifically, pediatric IBD patients are much more susceptible to psychiatric disorders due to the impact of chronic disease on the mental health of adolescents (Loftus et al., 2011). Therefore, due to these various procedures, the prevalence of an eating disorder subsequent to diagnosis of IBD >60% (Hedman et al., 2019).
Remaining Gap

While there is a fair amount of research conducted surrounding the comorbidity of IBD and eating disorders, or behaviors that may contribute to the development of an eating disorder, I did not find any research conducted on the etiology of eating disorders due to dieting as treatment for IBD. Furthermore, I did not find research nor hypotheses about the negative mental health effects of dieting as treatment for IBD. My research will strongly focus on the etiology of eating disorders and dieting and the changes in eating behaviors because of dieting for adolescent IBD treatment.

RESEARCH QUESTION AND HYPOTHESIS

My research question was as follows:

To what extent do special dieting treatments for the management of Crohn’s and Colitis heighten the probability of developing an eating disorder in pediatric patients?

My hypothesis for the question was as follows:

Pediatric patients with IBD and actively using dieting as treatment for IBD will suffer from eating disorders more often than the general population.

METHODOLOGY

Study Design

This study analyzes the comorbidity of eating disorders and IBD, predominantly, investigating the contribution of dieting as treatment for IBD to the psychological features of an eating disorder. The aim of this research is to broaden the knowledge on the limitations of holistic treatment and, thus, broaden patient knowledge. It is of paramount importance that the decline in psychological health is mitigated, potentially through the termination of holistic treatment to pediatric patients previously susceptible to eating disorders.

A three-part, mixed-methods design in the study was conducted. This design permitted for a qualitative and quantitative analysis of developmental changes in eating behavior. The study was correspondingly triangulated. The three methods applied for data-gathering were: qualitative interviews, a pre-validated quantitative questionnaire, and a meta-analysis (Figure 1). Pre-existing literature demonstrated correlation between IBD and eating disorders but showed no evidence of etiology. Accordingly, the mixed-methods design in the study was crucial for optimization and comprehensive understanding of the phenomenon (Vedel et al., 2019).
**Research Question:** To what extent do special dieting treatments for the management of Crohn’s and Colitis enhance the probability of developing an eating disorder in pediatric patients?

**Validated Questionnaire:** Quantitative, screening instrument to detect eating disorders. Respectively measured bulimic and anorectic cases with 100% sensitivity.

**Interviews:** Qualitative tool to gather more comprehensive details and responses. The interviews revealed factors that do/do not contribute to change in eating behavior as a result of dieting as treatment for IBD.

**Meta Analysis:** Quantitative and qualitative instrument to analyze the trends and specific ways in which dieting plays a role in the development of eating disorders.

---

**Figure 1:** *Triangulated Research Approach in Alliance with the Research Question*

**Subjects**

The subjects which participated in the pre-validated questionnaire were individuals aged 12-25. This particular demographic of participants was chosen due to interim final regulations: dependent insurance coverage becomes unavailable the day before a child’s 26th birthday (Press, 2011). 7 participants were diagnosed with CD and 9 participants were diagnosed with UC. 0 individuals were diagnosed with an eating disorder subsequent to IBD diagnosis, and 0 individuals was diagnosed with an eating disorder prior to IBD diagnosis; 16 individuals were solely diagnosed with IBD. Each subject had used or is currently using a special dieting treatment. These subjects provided the greatest insight
pertaining to the commonality of change in eating behavior. This sample group was chosen for the purpose of gathering primary data sources; the group that participated in the pre-validated survey was able to respond to specific questions directly pertaining to the study. Furthermore, patients obtain knowledge regarding their own illness experience.

The subjects which participated in the qualitative interviews were all doctors residing in the United States. 5 physicians were recruited. This purposeful sampling approach was utilized to attain a diverse sample of primary care physicians who exemplified a broad spectrum of perceptions and experiences. These healthcare providers will further be able to translate patient needs into evidence for research.

Research Instruments

This study’s questionnaire was formulated based on the “5-Item SCOFF questionnaire” from Morgan, Reid, and Lacey (2000).

Table 1: *Original Questionnaire with Criteria to Score (Morgan et al., 2000)*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>The SCOFF questions*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Do you make yourself Sick because you feel uncomfortably full?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Do you worry you have lost Control over how much you eat?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Have you recently lost more than One stone in a 3 month period?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Do you believe yourself to be Fat when others say you are too thin?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Would you say that Food dominates your life?</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*One point for every “yes”; a score of ≥2 indicates a likely case of anorexia nervosa or bulimia*

SCOFF addresses the key features of both bulimia nervosa and anorexia nervosa. A threshold of two or more “yes” answers offered 100% sensitivity for bulimia and anorexia, in combination and separately. The SCOFF questionnaire has a false-positive rate of 12.5%, although it is a satisfactory changeover for high sensitivity (Morgan et al., 2000). Thus far, numerous validation studies have suggested SCOFF as an indorsed screening tool (Kutz et al., 2019). Table 1 depicts the SCOFF questionnaire. The sum of the points determines the likelihood of an eating disorder diagnosis. The study mirrored the original framework of the SCOFF questionnaire. One point was awarded if the responses to the questionnaire were in alignment with the coded answer (generated by Morgan et al., 2000). An overall point score of ≥2 is considered a “likely case of anorexia nervosa or bulimia.” The questionnaire acted as the quantitative measure for assessing developmental change in eating behavior. The questionnaire’s original design was intended for and effective at screening for and detecting eating disorders. The purpose of the SCOFF instrument in this research
was to detect eating disorders as a result of special dieting for management of IBD. Modifications were not made to the questionnaire.

A few supplemental questions were added to the questionnaire (Table 2). These questions were used to determine pre-existing conditions and other data relevant to the purpose of the study.

**Table 2: Supplemental Questions Added to the Validated Questionnaire**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Question 1</th>
<th>Question 2</th>
<th>Question 3</th>
<th>Question 4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>What is your diagnosis?</td>
<td>What is your date of birth?</td>
<td>Prior to this questionnaire, were you diagnosed with an eating disorder subsequent to or prior to your IBD diagnosis? If so, please specify which.</td>
<td>Prior to this questionnaire, which special dieting treatment did you use for the management of IBD? How long did you use this treatment?</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Note: There was a supplementary question: requesting voluntary consent (voluntary consent form).*

**Procedures**

Subjects for the questionnaire were compiled via Facebook groups: *IBD Support and Solutions for Crohn’s and Ulcerative Colitis, Ulcerative Colitis, Crohn’s Disease,* and *Crohn’s Teens Crushing It!*. Upon agreement to participate in the study, subjects completed the questionnaire and supplemental questions anonymously (Appendix A). 16 subjects participated. To ensure confidentiality, the confidentiality form (Appendix B) informed participants that names of people would not be disclosed. Subjects agreed to the informed consent form and voluntary consent form (Appendix C).

Physicians which participated in the qualitative interview were contacted via email (Appendix D). Interview questions were created based on Harvard’s guide: *Strategies for Qualitative Interviews* (n.d.). Upon agreement to participate in the study, physicians completed the written interview sheet questions (Appendix E). To ensure confidentiality, the confidentiality form informed physicians that names would not be disclosed (Appendix B). Physicians agreed to the informed consent form and voluntary consent form (Appendix C). They shared information according to HIPAA regulations. Following interviews, responses were thematically analyzed. The meta-analysis was correspondingly completed.

**Delimitations**

Delimitations were determined prior to the conduction of study in order to limit the subject pool. Foremost, only pediatric patients currently diagnosed with CD or UC were subjects for the study; adults with CD or UC and pediatric patients with a presumptive diagnosis were excluded. Secondly, only pediatric patients currently using or had used dieting as treatment for IBD (discounting whether it was the first-line treatment) were contacted as participants.
RESULTS

Meta-Analysis

This research applied a mixed-method approach with several precepts of a correlational study. Its aim was not to establish a cause-and-effect relationship, but instead to determine the direction of the relationship based on a synthesis of available studies. The research characterized the nature of adolescent-specific issues with the most popular special diets for IBD. However, this segment of my research did not focus on special diets in conjunction with IBD; it rather analyzed the general adolescent population that practiced these diets. The meta-analysis will provide rules-of-thumb to assess consistency within this study (Weed, 2000). This analysis was a mixed-method measure, and it triangulated the study.

This analysis was conducted based on Sen and Yildirim’s guide (2022). A collection of diverse studies published in peer-reviewed journals were selected primarily on relevance. Eleven of the most recent journals published in the search engines ProQuest and EBSCO were selected. Choosing the latest contemporary research allowed me to emulate the progression of medical research as of March 2023. Articles were eligible for inclusion if they satisfied the following criteria: 1) published in English and on humans; 2) one or more of the following were referenced: eating disorder, disordered eating, and food avoidance; 3) conducted on humans ≥25 years of age. The process diagram indicated reason for rejection of studies (Figure 2). The systematic search was carried out by using the keywords which included: “adolescents,” “limitations,” “eating disorder,” “exclusive enteral nutrition,” “IBD-AID,” “anti-inflammatory diet,” “CD-treat,” “Crohn’s disease exclusion diet,” “auto-immune protocol,” “specific carbohydrate diet,” “Mediterranean diet,” “low FODMAP,” “Semi-vegetarian,” “Paleo,” “Paleolithic diet,” and “Ulcerative Colitis exclusion diet.” After identifying articles eligible for the inclusion criteria, the full article text was obtained.

Figure 2: Results of Search Eligible for Study in Accordance with PRISMA Statement (Moher et al., 2009)
Strauss’ (2010) deductive coding process was used for the completion of three coding iterations (Table 3). See Appendix G to see the justification for codes. These themes arose in various research papers that were analyzed. If the theme emerged, it was shown as indicated in Table 4.

### Table 3: Deductive Coding Process of Indicated Risks (Strauss, 2010)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name of Code</th>
<th>Code Description</th>
<th>Example of Code</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Eating Disorder</td>
<td>There is an indication that special dieting heightens the probability of developing an ED in adolescents.</td>
<td>Diet is correlated with eating behavior changes.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Disordered eating</td>
<td>There is an indication of disturbed and unhealthy eating patterns due to dieting.</td>
<td>Diet is correlated with disrupted eating behavior.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Food avoidance</td>
<td>There is an indication that dieting causes malnourishment due to the deliberate restraint of certain foods.</td>
<td>Diet is correlated with refrained eating.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Abbreviations: ED = eating disorder.*

Table 4 shows the frequency of the indicated risks by special dieting in adolescents in accordance with the coding iterations. Eight out of eleven diets (72.7%) of the diets indicated food avoidance as a risk; one out of eleven diets (9%) indicated disordered eating as a risk; and one out of eleven diets (9%) indicated eating disorders as a risk. Food avoidance poses as the biggest threat to adolescents practicing these diets but eating disorders and disordered eating do not pose a threat. The Specific Carbohydrate Diet and Low-Fodmap Diet showed the most risk; the Specific Carbohydrate Diet indicated eating disorders and food avoidance as limitations and the Low-FODMAP diet indicated disordered eating and food avoidance. The Anti-inflammatory diet, Mediterranean diet, and Semi-vegetarian diet posed the least threat as they did not indicate any risk.

### Table 4: Frequency of Indicated Risks by Special Dieting in Adolescents

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study</th>
<th>Diet</th>
<th>Eating Disorder</th>
<th>Disordered Eating</th>
<th>Food Avoidance</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Peters et al., 2022</td>
<td>Exclusive Enteral Nutrition (EEN)</td>
<td>Not indicated</td>
<td>Not indicated</td>
<td>Indicated</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>de Castro et al., 2020</td>
<td>Anti-inflammatory diet (IBD-AID)</td>
<td>Not indicated</td>
<td>Not indicated</td>
<td>Not indicated</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pigne &amp; Ruemmele, 2019</td>
<td>CD-TREAT diet</td>
<td>Not indicated</td>
<td>Not indicated</td>
<td>Not indicated</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nuñez-Sánchez et al., 2022</td>
<td>Crohn’s Disease Exclusion Diet (CDED)</td>
<td>Not indicated</td>
<td>Not indicated</td>
<td>Indicated</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Saha et al., 2022</td>
<td>Autoimmune protocol diet (AIP)</td>
<td>Not indicated</td>
<td>Not indicated</td>
<td>Not indicated</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Roncoroni et al., 2022</td>
<td>Specific Carbohydrate Diet (SCD)</td>
<td>Indicated</td>
<td>Not indicated</td>
<td>Indicated</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Melguizo-Ibáñez et al., 2022</td>
<td>Mediterranean diet</td>
<td>Not indicated</td>
<td>Not indicated</td>
<td>Not indicated</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alkalay, 2021</td>
<td>Low-FODMAP diet</td>
<td>Not indicated</td>
<td>Indicated</td>
<td>Indicated</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Craig et al., 2021</td>
<td>Semi-vegetarian</td>
<td>Not indicated</td>
<td>Not indicated</td>
<td>Not indicated</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Panufnik et al., 2022</td>
<td>Ulcetive Colitis exclusion diet (UCED)</td>
<td>Not indicated</td>
<td>Not indicated</td>
<td>Indicated</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cucinotta et al., 2021</td>
<td>Paleolithic diet</td>
<td>Not indicated</td>
<td>Not indicated</td>
<td>Indicated</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Survey

The questionnaire (Appendix A) was distributed through Microsoft Forms and was completed by 16 participants. Subjects completed the supplemental questions and the SCOFF questionnaire. The mean age of participants was 18.5 (SD = 2.98) Participants who were older than 25 or did not use a special dieting treatment for the management of IBD were removed from the results. Participants were asked for their previous diagnosis in relation to IBD and eating disorders. Once each response was collected, they were transferred into Microsoft Excel. Then, the data was analyzed.
and processed using various data tools in Excel. The full responses per participant are in Appendix H. The tables below relate the number of “yes” responses on the SCOFF questionnaire per respondent. ≥ 2 “yes” responses to the SCOFF questionnaire indicate a likely case of an eating disorder. Table 5 demonstrates the relationship between “yes” responses to the SCOFF with diagnosis. Table 6 demonstrates the relationship between “yes” responses to the SCOFF with special diets.

**Table 5: Significance and P-value per Diagnosis**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Diagnosis</th>
<th>Phi Coefficient</th>
<th>Cramer’s V</th>
<th>P-Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Crohn’s Disease</td>
<td>-0.241</td>
<td>0.201</td>
<td>0.587</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ulcerative Colitis</td>
<td>0.449</td>
<td>0.381</td>
<td>0.178</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

For Crohn’s Disease, the Phi Coefficient and Cramer’s V indicate a weak, negative association between Crohn’s Disease and “yes” responses. The p-value, 0.587, indicates that the association between the variables is not statistically significant at the 0.05 level.

For Ulcerative Colitis, the Phi Coefficient and Cramer’s V indicate a moderate, positive association between Ulcerative Colitis and “yes” responses. However, the p-value, 0.178, indicates that the association is not statistically significant at the 0.05 level.

**Table 6: Significance and P-value per Diet**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Diet</th>
<th>Correlation Coefficient</th>
<th>P-Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SCD</td>
<td>0.310</td>
<td>0.516</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EEN</td>
<td>1.000</td>
<td>0.317</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anti-Inflammatory Diet</td>
<td>1.000</td>
<td>0.317</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gluten-Free</td>
<td>0.310</td>
<td>0.516</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Keto Diet</td>
<td>0.717</td>
<td>0.168</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paleo Diet</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>1.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low-Calorie Diet</td>
<td>1.000</td>
<td>0.168</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lactose-Free Diet</td>
<td>-0.104</td>
<td>0.721</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low Residue Diet</td>
<td>-0.104</td>
<td>0.721</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low Fodmap Diet</td>
<td>-0.104</td>
<td>0.721</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Note: Multiple participants used two or more diets.*
Based on the table, the diets that have a strong positive correlation are the EEN, Anti-Inflammatory, Keto, and Low-Calorie diets. The SCD and Gluten-Free diets have a weak positive correlation. The Low-Fodmap, Lactose-Free, and Low Residue diets have a weak negative correlation. The Paleo diet has no correlation. The p-values for the diets suggest that the correlations are not statistically significant at the 0.05 level. This means that they could have occurred by chance.

Written Interview

In order to collect data on the personal experiences of physicians and to test my hypothesis, I conducted written interviews as the third part of my study. Interviews were particularly useful for this research because it allowed me to gather physician opinions on the effectiveness of special dieting for the management of IBD. This allowed me to acquire rich and detailed perspectives of those who have direct experience with the study. Since my aim was to investigate the impact of past experiences on the development of new conditions, I needed to collect accounts of these events in an anecdotal form. Each interview aimed to ascertain which experiences physicians have confronted in their practice in relation to comorbid eating disorders and IBD. The questions were designed with the help of Harvard’s guide: Strategies for Qualitative Interviews (n.d.); they were open-ended in order to allow interviewees the opportunity to justify their answers. The written interviews were conducted on Microsoft Forms after being emailed out. See Appendix I for the complete questionnaire responses.

After the written interviews were conducted, the study moved to the second step of analysis: a qualitative thematic analysis. Thematic analysis is an analytical approach that identifies the relationships between common themes in a set of gathered data (Thomas, 2010). The overall goal of this process was to determine the whether the type of treatment IBD patients practiced could affect the development of an eating disorder. After identifying relevant common perspectives, the common perspectives were analyzed for how they could contribute to a correlation between special diets and eating disorders. The interviews were thematically analyzed using Lochmiller’s guide (2021). Two recurring themes emerged based on conceptual assumptions that guided this study (Table 7).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Theme</th>
<th>Definition</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Nutritional Concerns</td>
<td>Impacts of special diets for the treatment of IBD on nutritional status and nutrient deficiencies</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Psychological Impact</td>
<td>Impacts of special diets for the treatment of IBD on body image, quality of life, and mental disorders</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

These themes were then examined through a thematic analysis. These two recurring themes shed light on the extent to which special dieting for the treatment of adolescent IBD may influence the development of an eating disorder.

Recurring Theme 1: Nutritional Concerns

Nutritional concerns were profusely mentioned. Mainly, malnutrition, involuntary aversion to food, extreme restriction of intake of foods, food avoidances, and food aversion. As described in the table, nutritional concerns are defined as the impact on nutritional deficiencies and status by special diets. Anonymous Respondent #4 stated that special diets for the management of IBD “can result in extreme restriction of intake of foods which can result in malnutrition.” Anonymous respondents #1, #2, #3, and #4 mentioned the compulsory aversion to food which patients with IBD commonly form. Specifically, respondents underlined the heightening of this aversion in the youth.
demographic. In turn, “patients restrict their diet and may become malnourished” states Anonymous Respondent #1. However, with mindful dietary intake this can be abridged (Anonymous Respondent #3). There was a general consensus between respondents that IBD, inherently, creates healthy or unhealthy dietary changes in patients in order to curb symptoms. Anonymous Respondent #3 described this nature: “Patients with IBD can develop an involuntary aversion to food due to their symptoms of abdominal pain, diarrhea, and perianal pain on defecation if they have fistulae.” This nature was agreed to be heightened in youth because adolescents “are still in their formative years for the development of eating habits” (Anonymous Respondent #1). Respondents believe that the nature of IBD can create nutritional concerns because of symptoms. On the other hand, dieting may create changes on eating behavior, especially due to the vulnerability of the adolescent mind.

**Recurring Theme 2: Psychological Impact**

Most commonly, respondents determined that patients with IBD are more prone to developing Avoidant-Restrictive Food Intake Disorder (AFRID). However, due to the lack of empirical data, respondents suggested that they could not determine whether this connection was present between special dieting treatments and eating disorders. Anonymous respondents #1, #2, and #4 determined that adolescents with IBD are more prone to eating disorders because of youth vulnerability. Anonymous Respondent #2 stated,

> I believe that youth in general are more at risk for eating disorders than any other age demographic and are very vulnerable due to puberty, social stressors, social media, and body image issues. This is exacerbated by having a GI diagnosis such as IBD, which can cause you to have a disordered relationship with food.

Anonymous Respondent #3 had a similar narrative. They decided it was unclear if adolescents with IBD were more susceptible to eating disorders than adults with IBD but may suffer from body image issues more commonly because of “rapid weight gain while on steroids or rapid weight loss when having a disease flare.” All respondents concluded that special dieting treatments do not heighten the probability of developing an eating disorder in adolescent IBD patients, but adolescent patients do tend to be more at risk. Anonymous Respondent #1 described special dieting treatments as “a way of life,”

> I actually don't think that special diets like SCD enhance the probability of an eating disorder. For most patients, they are choosing SCD as a way of life for themselves. These patients are already self-selected to be open minded to these diets and to be up for the challenge of using a diet-based approach to manage disease. One exception would be EEN (exclusive formula use to help get an IBD flare under control). These patients are often quite sick and are essentially "compelled" in to drinking formula in order to feel better. These patients often struggle to trust their gut again or to enjoy food in the same way.

Respondents further concluded that there is no difference in susceptibility of developing an eating disorder in adolescent and adult patients using special dieting as treatment for IBD. All respondents have not or rarely saw patients on special dieting treatments develop eating disorders more often than patients not practicing a special dieting treatment. However, anonymous respondents #1 and #2 believe that dieting as a general means to lose weight does heighten the probability of developing eating disorders. This is because dieting can “easily morph into becoming compulsive about the things you eat” (Anonymous Respondent #2). Anonymous respondents #3, #4, and #5 believe that mindful dieting has not been correlated to eating disorders.

**DISCUSSION**

This study was designed to examine the extent to which adolescent patients using special diets for the management of IBD develop an elevated risk of progressing an eating disorder.
Findings

Upon evaluating the results acquired by the three methods, it can be concluded that special diets for the treatment of adolescent IBD are not strongly associated with the development of an eating disorder. This is proven by all anonymous respondents from the written interviews claiming that special diets for the treatment of IBD do not enhance the probability of developing an eating disorder. All respondents also agreed that this probability does not heighten between the adult and adolescent populations. However, special dieting treatments may lead to “eating compulsions or aversions” (Anonymous Respondent #2).

As depicted by Table 5, the likelihood (≥ “yes” responses to the SCOFF questionnaire) of an eating disorder was not associated with Crohn’s Disease or Ulcerative Colitis. Table 6, however, displayed a strong positive correlation between the likelihood of an eating disorder and EEN, Anti-Inflammatory, Keto, and Low-Calorie diets. Although the p-values still determined that this correlation may have been by chance. So, when triangulating the data, it did become apparent that this correlation was by chance. The EEN diet indicated only the risk of food avoidance, and the Anti-Inflammatory diet did not indicate any risk (Table 4). The only diet which indicated the risk of developing an eating disorder was the SCD (Table 4). The results of the survey proved otherwise because the SCD only had a weak correlation with the likelihood of an eating disorder. The Keto and Low-Calorie diets were not included in Table 4; this was a limitation of my study. These findings underscore the significance of employing multiple methods of data collection in this study. Had the research relied solely on one method (e.g. survey), the prevalence would have been over-reported.

Another finding is that the subjects, adolescent patients with IBD, are generally choosing special dieting as a course of treatment for themselves (Anonymous Respondent #1). Patients generally select themselves and remain open-minded to the challenge throughout the course of the diet (Anonymous Respondent #1). In the self-selected population, dieting is common, but eating disorders are not common (Anonymous Respondent #5). Dieting mindfully has also proven not to be associated with an eating disorder (Anonymous Respondent #3). Collectively, individually choosing a mindful way of dieting for treatment would not contribute to an elevated risk of developing an eating disorder.

Fulfillment of Gaps in the Research

This research addressed numerous gaps in the pre-existing literature. First, the targeted subjects: adolescent aged 12-25 were not exclusively observed in any of the aforementioned studies. Second, examining a cause of eating disorders comorbid with IBD was not studied. Previous research did not examine any correlation between special dieting treatments and eating disorders. In this study, adolescent patients practicing special dieting treatments were studied. Thirdly, and the utmost significant, gap filled was the extent to which the use of special dieting treatments for adolescent patients with IBD heightens the probability of an eating disorder. Pre-existing research outlined the relation between the comorbidity of IBD and eating disorders, but not one study mentioned special dieting treatments impacting the risk of developing an eating disorder in adolescent patients. This gap formed the basis for this study.

Implications

The results of this study can spur physicians to intentionally prescribe the practice of a special dieting treatment to adolescents in confidence. Based on my study, there is no correlation between special dieting treatments and eating disorders; this means physicians can advocate for the treatment assuredly. As Anonymous Respondent #5 stated, “dieting is very common in this community, eating disorders are not.” In addition, the results of this study can inform the patients – using/not using special dieting treatments – about the safety of special diets for the treatment of IBD. The pre-existing research signifies that the comorbidity of IBD and eating disorders are linked to one another (Ilzarbe...
et al., 2017). Therefore, this study can inform physicians -- and on a broader scope, all adolescent patients with IBD -- of the indifference of special diets for the treatment of IBD and eating disorders.

Limitations

As displayed, no subject in the survey was diagnosed with an eating disorder. This did not allow me to establish or disprove correlation between special dieting treatments and diagnosed eating disorders. Another limitation is that participants in the survey were not observed throughout the length of their treatment. This did not allow me to determine a change in attitude towards food in actual time. Since there was not an eating disorder specialist in my study or in my written interviews, the study did not determine concurrent diagnoses of eating disorders. Also, the meta-analysis did not include each diet mentioned in the survey. This did not allow readers to observe previous risks per diet in a patient. Lastly, the most major limitation of my study is that little research has been done on the comorbidity of IBD and eating disorders. The gut and brain have shown to be linked, but there is not enough data to prove the relationship between IBD and eating disorders.

Direction for Further Research

This study’s small subject pool is catalyst to new areas of research. The subject pool should be expanded to include a diverse group of adolescent patients. The lack of patients with diagnosed eating disorders didn’t allow for accurate conclusions on the correlation between eating disorders and patients practicing special dieting treatments. Future research should also observe the difference in risk for patients who were medically required to use special dieting treatments and those who were given the choice. Future research can also expand beyond post-treatment observations and observe patients prior and alongside the start of their special dieting treatment adjoining a dietician.
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